1 copy to Chris for Response

23RD DISTRICT ROGER A. MADIGAN

PLEASE REPLY TO:

SENATE BOX 203023 THE STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA 17120-3023 (717) 787-3280 FAX: (717) 772-0575

> 23 MAIN STREET TOWANDA, PA 18848 (717) 265-7448 FAX: (717) 327-3635

200 EXECUTIVE PLAZA 330 PINE STREET WILLIAMSPORT, PA 17701 (717) 322-6457 FAX: (717) 327-3703



Senate of Pennsylvania October 16, 1998 COMMITTEES

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, CHAIRMAN GAME AND FISHERIES, VICE CHAIRMAN AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS AFPROPRIATIONS LABOR AND INDUSTRY

JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION - CHAIRMAN GOVERNOR'S ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE DEAF AND MEARING IMPAIRED - MEMBER
JOINT LEGISLATIVE AIR AND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AND CONSERVATION COMMITTEE - MEMBER PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC SCHOOL EMPLOYES' RETIREMENT BOARD - MEMBER

Honorable Samuel E. Hayes Secretary Department of Agriculture 2301 North Cameron Street Harrisburg, PA 17110

Dear Secretary Hayes:

ORIGINAL: 1990

HARBISON

COPIES: McGinley

Nanorta Harris Sandusky

Legal, Notebook

My office recently received a copy of the notice of Proposed Rulemaking from the Department of Agriculture 70 PA Code Chapters 1-10, 23, 35, and 39 Weights, Measures, and Standards. Regarding Chapter 5 of the Proposed Rulemaking, addressing UPC Scanning Systems and PLU Devices, I would like to thank you for addressing the concerns outlined in my May 18, 1998 correspondence to you regarding this chapter.

In May, the Department was considering disallowing for self-inspection of UPC Scanning Systems and PLU Devices under a private certification program which is provided for in Act 155 of 1996. As the prime sponsor of this legislation, the legislative intent was to clearly permit the private sector to implement a private certification program and for self-inspection under this program. Self-inspection will be the key to the success of a private certification with the private sector working with your department to ensure the accuracy of these devices. I would like the Proposed Rulemaking to specifically allow for self-inspection under a private certification program. In addition, I strongly feel that Chapter 10, Section 10.5 subsection (c) should be deleted. UPC Scanning Systems and PLU Devices are not weighing and measuring devices, and therefore this section should be deleted.

I will continue to be very interested in the progress of the Proposed Rulemaking to permit for private certification program and self-inspection of UPC Scanning Systems and PLU devices when your department certifies individuals and employees. Ultimately, these private certification programs would be developed under your department's oversight and subject to its approval. I am pleased with the periodic updates I receive which show the progress being made between the department and the private sector.

Again, I would like to thank you for considering the concerns expressed in my May 18, 1998 correspondence on this subject. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Sincerely,

ROGER A. MADIGAN

SENATOR

BUREAU OF RIDE & MEASUREMENT STANDARDS OCTOBER 28, 1998

ORIGINAL: 1990

HARBISON

COPIES: McGinley

Nanorta

TO: All Interested Parties

SUBJECT: Review of Comments

FROM:

Harris

Sandusky Legal

Charles M. Bruckner, Director Notebook

Bureau of Ride & Measurement Standards

In accordance with the Regulatory Review Act, we are submitting the written comments received from the public pertaining to the Proposed Rulemaking for Act 155-1996.